

January 25, 2012

Mr. Peter Barbuto, Facilities Manager School Administrative Unit No. 15 Hooksett School District 90 Farmer Road Hooksett, New Hampshire 03106



Re: Indoor Air Quality Survey – Auburn Village School *SLGL* File Number 11-1044

Dear Mr. Barbuto:

On January 3, 2012, *The Scott Lawson Group, Ltd. (SLGL)* conducted a limited Indoor Air Quality (IAQ) Survey for School Administration Unit No. 15 (SAU #15) at the Auburn Village School located at 11 Eaton Road in Auburn, New Hampshire. The objective of the Survey was to further evaluate the indoor environment for IAQ issues following our initial survey in November of last year. The IAQ Survey was accomplished by conducting visual observations of the area, the collection of air samples for Fungi, and the use of a direct-reading instrument to collect spot readings for Carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>).

During the survey, *SLGL* collected five (5) Air-O-Cell® samples within the school, one (1) outside the building (for comparison purposes), and an analytical blank (for quality control purposes) for the evaluation of total airborne fungal spore concentrations. As the samples were collected during the cold winter months, the outside samples were lower than they would typically be during other times of the year.

All occupied buildings require a supply of outdoor air. As outdoor air is drawn in, indoor air is exhausted or allowed to escape, removing air contaminants. Previous testing indicated that there was insufficient ventilation in the tested areas, due to exhaust fans not functioning properly (not turned on, or no ventilation units serviced the area). Based on this information, facility maintenance staff, inspected, adjusted, and repaired existing ventilation systems as feasible to remedy this situation.

Testing conducted on January 3, 2012, revealed that in most tested areas the improvements to the ventilation system resulted in a decrease of CO<sub>2</sub>, a good indicator of air circulation. Analysis of the air samples indicate that airborne Fungi levels are within normal/background levels, and do not present a concern to the health of staff/occupants.



Air Samples - Total Spore Counts with Predominant Genus Identification:

Resampling of Portable Classrooms P5 and P6, and Modular Classrooms M1 and M2 was also included in this survey. Each sample was collected by drawing air through an Air-O-Cell sampling cassette. Analysis of the Air-O-Cell cassettes (with count and identification by Predominant Genus) was used to determine total airborne viable and non-viable Fungi spores. All Fungi are considered to be potentially allergenic. (The term "genus" refers to the particular "family" of Fungi or Bacteria, and there are individual species within each genus.) The following table compares airborne spore levels on November 15, 2011, sampled with no ventilation running, and January 3, 2012, with ventilation systems in operation.

**TABLE I - Spore Count Comparison** 

Sample Location	Total fungal Spore Count (Count/m <sup>3</sup> ) 11/15/11	Predominant Genus(s) 11/15/11	Total fungal Spore Count (Count/m <sup>3</sup> ) 1/3/12	Predominant Genus(s) 1/3/12
P-5	2,293	Aspergillus/Penicillium-like (1,120) Basidiospores (533)	None detected	
P-6	Not Tested		53	Basidiospores (53)
M-2	800	Aspergillus/Penicillium-like (320) Basidiospores (213)	None detected	
M-1	587	Aspergillus/Penicillium-like (320) Basidiospores (107) Cladosporium (107)	107	Myxomycetes/ Periconia/smuts (107)
Maintenanc e Office	Not Tested		160	Myxomycetes/ Periconia/smuts (53) Ascospores (53)
Exterior	6,827	Basidiospores (5,333) Ascospores (853) Aspergillus/Penicillium-like (427)	640	Basidiospores (267) Cladosporium (267)

## Data Logging Instrument, Carbon dioxide Readings:

Data collected with the TSI Q-TRAK documented CO<sub>2</sub> levels in selected areas of the school. Studies indicate that CO<sub>2</sub> is an excellent surrogate indicator of indoor air quality. Since CO<sub>2</sub> is given off by humans when exhaling, its levels in the air provide a good indication of the quality of air circulation and how effectively the ventilation system, if present, is diluting and removing pollutants from the air. It must be noted that it is (generally) not necessarily the concentration of CO<sub>2</sub> itself that is of concern in non-industrial settings, but rather it is the levels of CO<sub>2</sub> exceeding 1,000 parts per million (1,000 ppm), which are indicative of inadequate fresh/outdoor air introduction - or under-ventilation.

The following table compares CO<sub>2</sub> levels on November 15, 2011, with no ventilation running, and January 3, 2012, with ventilation system in operation.

TABLE II - CO<sub>2</sub> Comparison

Sample Location	CO <sup>2</sup> (PPM) 11/15/11	Ventilation System in Operation (Y/N)	CO <sup>2</sup> (PPM) 01/03/12	Ventilation System in Operation (Y/N)
P-5	1,512	N	665	Y
P-6	Not Tested	N	631	Y
M-1	1,608	N	1,039	Y
M-2	1,590	N	439	Y
101	1,911	N	1,200	Y
Special Ed Office	1,704	N	745	Y
Main Office	1,143	N	645	Y
Library	1,412	N	1,116	Y
115	Not Tested	N/A	2,120	N
126	1,442	N	1,087	Y

Sample Location	CO <sup>2</sup> (PPM) 11/15/11	Ventilation System in Operation (Y/N)	CO <sup>2</sup> (PPM) 01/03/12	Ventilation System in Operation (Y/N)
201	1,056	Window Open	973	Y
205	1,415	N	1,082	N
Exterior	390	N/A	195	N/A

The sampling period was from 11:05 am to 1:30 pm on 11/15/11 and the sampling period was from 12:35 pm to 1:40 pm on 1/3/12.

ANSI/ASHRAE standard 62-1989 recommends that 1,000 ppm of CO<sub>2</sub> be utilized as a value not to be exceeded, to ensure adequate fresh/outdoor air introduction and dilution of indoor air pollutants.

Controlling the source of contaminants is fundamental in any IAQ strategy. The latest round of sampling with the ventilation system in operation, clearly indicates that ventilation of the area is one way to control contaminants, in this case microbial spores and CO<sub>2</sub>. Both the quantity and quality of ventilation air necessary to assure adequate dilution of contaminants must be considered when evaluating air quality. When using dilution as a IAQ solution, the air exchange must be balanced to allow occupant comfort and still not allow for contaminants to accumulate.

In areas where dilution (increasing the amount of fresh air to total air) is not feasible, and/or adequate, another option is air cleaning. Air cleaning is most effective when used in conjunction with ventilation. Air cleaning equipment intended to provide better air quality must be properly selected and designed for the particular contaminant of concern. Once installed it must be properly maintained, and maintenance requirements must be considered in selection of the equipment.

Successful mitigation of IAQ problems requires the involvement of building management, facility maintenance, housekeeping, and staff. All must be educated about the cause(s) of IAQ problems and about the actions that are being taken or avoided to prevent recurrence of the problems. A buildings indoor air quality is the result of the decisions and actions of a wide variety of individuals. Design of the buildings heating, ventilation, air conditioning system, contaminant sources, and air-cleaning efficiency all play roles, as do the cooperation of staff and maintenance in the operation of these systems. Microbial Spore and CO<sub>2</sub> levels clearly were reduced in most areas with the ventilation system in operation. *SLGL* recommends that all ventilation systems be reviewed and maintained in accordance with manufacturers' recommendations.

Thank you for providing *The Scott Lawson Group, Ltd.* with the opportunity to assist you with this project. We enjoyed working with on this project and would welcome the opportunity to work with you again. We trust that you will find everything in order; however, should you have any questions or comments, please feel free to contact me at your earliest convenience.

Sincerely,

The Scott Lawson Group, Ltd.

Stephen McPherson

Senior Safety & Health Professional

Member Indoor Air Quality Association (#7954), Associated Member ACGIH (305730-00)

Enclosures

## WARRANTY

The conclusions and recommendations contained in this report are based on information available to *SLGL* as of January 3, 2012. *SLGL* provides no warranties on information provided by third parties and contained herein. Data compiled were in accordance with *SLGL's* approved scope of services and should not be construed beyond their limitations. Any interpretations or use of this report other than those expressed herein are not warranted. The use, partial use, or duplication of this report without the expressed written consent of *The Scott Lawson Group, Ltd.*, is strictly prohibited.

## APPENDIX A

ANALYTICAL RESULTS



Post Office Box 3304, Concord, NH 03302-3304 (603) 228~3610 / (800) 645~7674 / Fax (603) 228~3871

**Analytical Results** 

Client: SAU #15

90 Farmer Road

Hooksett, NH 03106

SLGL Job #: 11-1044

Client Project: Auburn Village School

Report Date: January 6, 2012

Date Sampled: January 3, 2012 Date Received: January 4, 2012

Collected by: SRM

Analyzed by: NEF, #01040036



Lab Number:	294571	294572	294573 1-3-12-11-11-1044-A03, Area, backgroun Classroom P-5	
Sample Identification:	1-3-12-11-1044-A01, Area, background, exterior- rear parking lot by portables	1-3-12-11-11-1044-A02, Area, background, Classroom P-6		
Analysis:	Fungi Enumeration & Identification - Direct Examination	Fungi Enumeration & Identification - Direct Examination	Fungi Enumeration & Identification - Direct Examination	
Methodology:	SLGL-3067	SLGL-3067	SLGL-3067	
Sample Media:	Air-O-Cell	Air-O-Cell	Air-O-Cell	
Debris Rating:	2	2	2	
Air Volume (L):	75.0	75.0	75.0	
Minutes:	5	5	5	
Date Analyzed:	January 6, 2012	January 6, 2012	January 6, 2012	

Mold/Fungi Type	Raw Count	Count/m3	Raw Count	Count/m <sup>3</sup>	Raw Count	Count/m3
Alternaria						
Ascospores	1	53				
**Aspergillus/Penicillium- like						
Basidiospores	5	267	1	53		
Bipolaris/Drechslera -like	1	53				**
Botrytis						
Chaetomium						
Cladosporium	5	267				
Curvularia						
Epicoccum						
Fusarium						
Myxomycetes Periconia /smuts						
Nigrospora						
Oidium/Erysiphe/Peronospora						
Phoma						
Pithomyces						
rusts						
Spegazzinia						
Stachybotrys						
Stemphylium						
Torula						
Ulocladium						
unknown/unidentified						
hyphal fragments						
Total fungal spores and fragments:	12	640	1	53		
Limit of Detection:	12	53			< 1	< 53
Comments:	1	33	1	53	None detected	53

TNTC: Too numerous to count

<: Less Than

>: Greater Than

Count/m3: Count per meter cubed

PAACB: Pan-American Aerobiology Certification Board

Detection Limit: The detection limit is equal to one fungal spore or hyphal fragment.

\*\*: Aspergillus and Penicillium spores (and others such as Paecilomyces ) are small and round with few distinguishing characteristics. They cannot be distinguished by this method.

\*: No analytical field blank submitted with associated sample(s).

Background Debris: Background debris is an indication of the amount of non-microbial debris present on the slide and is rated on a scale of 1 to 5:

Debris Load of 1: <10% debris present. Counts not affected.

Debris Load of 2: 11-25% debris present. Counts not affected.

Debris Load of 3: 25-75% debris present. Counts may be underestimated.

Debris Load of 4: 76-90% debris present. Counts underestimated.

Debris Load of 5: >90% debris present. Counts could not be determined, sample overloaded.

Reviewed by: LeCen H En Zle

Approved By: Norman Fletcher, Lab Manager

Page 1 of 3



Post Office Box 3304, Concord, NH 03302-3304 (603) 228-3610 / (800) 645~7674 / Fax (603) 228-3871

**Analytical Results** 

Client: SAU #15

90 Farmer Road

Hooksett, NH 03106

SLGL Job #: 11-1044

Client Project: Auburn Village School

Report Date: January 6, 2012

Date Sampled: January 3, 2012 Date Received: January 4, 2012

Collected by: SRM

Analyzed by: NEF, #01040036



Lab Number:	294574	294575	294576				
Sample Identification:	1-3-12-11-1044-A04, Area, background, Classroom M-2	1-3-12-11-11-1044-A05, Area, background, Classroom M-1	1-3-12-11-11-1044-A06, Area, backgroun Maintenance office				
Analysis:	Fungi Enumeration & Identification - Direct Examination	Fungi Enumeration & Identification - Direct Examination	Fungi Enumeration & Identification - Direct				
Methodology:	SLGL-3067	SLGL-3067	SLGL-3067				
Sample Media:	Air-O-Cell	Air-O-Cell	Air-O-Cell				
Debris Rating:	2	3	3				
Air Volume (L):	75.0	75.0	75.0				
Minutes:	5	5	5				
Date Analyzed:	January 6, 2012	January 6, 2012	January 6, 2012				

Mold/Fungi Type	Raw Count	Count/m3	Raw Count	Count/m3	Raw Count	Count/m3
Alternaria				- 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1		
Ascospores					1	53
**Aspergillus Penicillium- like						
Basidiospores						
Bipolaris Drechslera -like						
Botrytis						
Chaetomium						
Cladosporium						
Curvularia						
Epicoccum						2478
Fusarium						
Myxomycetes Periconia /smuts			2	107	1	53
Nigrospora						
Oidium/Erysiphe/Peronospora						***************************************
Phoma						
Pithomyces		1 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31				
rusts						
Spegazzinia						
Stachybotrys						1 WH - 18
Stemphylium						
Torula					1	53
Ulocladium						
unknown/unidentified			686			10.510-
hyphal fragments						
T. 10						
Total fungal spores and fragments:	< 1	< 53	2	107	3	160
Limit of Detection:  Comments: No	1	53	1	53	1	53

TNTC: Too numerous to count

<: Less Than

>: Greater Than

Count/m3: Count per meter cubed

PAACB: Pan-American Aerobiology Certification Board Detection Limit: The detection limit is equal to one fungal spore or hyphal

- \*\*: Aspergillus and Penicillium spores (and others such as Paecitomyces ) are small and round with few distinguishing characteristics. They cannot be distinguished by this method.
- \*: No analytical field blank submitted with associated sample(s).

Background Debris: Background debris is an indication of the amount of non-microbial debris present on the slide and is rated on a scale of 1 to 5:

Debris Load of 1: <10% debris present. Counts not affected.

Debris Load of 2: 11-25% debris present. Counts not affected.

Debris Load of 3: 25-75% debris present. Counts may be underestimated.

Debris Load of 4: 76-90% debris present. Counts underestimated.

Debris Load of 5: >90% debris present. Counts could not be determined, sample overloaded.

Reviewed by: Holan M Enzle

Approved By: Jan & Hitel



Post Office Box 3304, Concord, NH 03302-3304 (603) 228~3610 / (800) 645~7674 / Fax (603) 228~3871

## **Analytical Results**

Client: SAU #15

90 Farmer Road

Hooksett, NH 03106

SLGL Job #: 11-1044

Client Project: Auburn Village School Report Date: January 6, 2012

Date Sampled: January 3, 2012

Date Received: January 4, 2012 Collected by: SRM

Analyzed by: NEF, #01040036



Lab Number:	294:	577			
Sample Identification:	1-3-12-11-1044-A07, Analytical field blank				, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
Analysis:	Fungi Enumeration & Exami	Identification - Direct			
Methodology:	SLGL				
Sample Media:	Air-O	-Cell			
Debris Rating:	1				
Air Volume (L):	0.	0			
Minutes:	0	)			V
Date Analyzed:	January	6, 2012			
				•	
Mold/Fungi Type	Raw Count	Count/m <sup>3</sup>			
Alternaria					
Ascospores					
**Aspergillus/Penicillium- like					
Basidiospores					
Bipolaris/Drechslera -like					
Botrytis					
Chaetomium					
Cladosporium					
Curvularia					
Epicoccum					
Fusarium					
Myxomycetes/Periconia /smuts					

Phoma			
Pithomyces			
rusts			
Spegazzinia			
Stachybotrys			
Stemphylium			
Torula			
Ulocladium			
unknown/unidentified			
hyphal fragments			
Paecilomyces			

TNTC: Too numerous to count

<: Less Than

Comments: None detected

>: Greater Than

Count/m3: Count per meter cubed

PAACB: Pan-American Aerobiology Certification Board Detection Limit: The detection limit is equal to one fungal spore or hyphal fragment.

\*\*: Aspergillus and Penicillium spores (and others such as Paecilomyces) are small and round with few distinguishing characteristics. They cannot be distinguished by this method.

\*: No analytical field blank submitted with associated sample(s).

Background Debris: Background debris is an indication of the amount of non-microbial debris present on the slide and

Debris Load of 1: <10% debris present. Counts not affected.

Debris Load of 2: 11-25% debris present. Counts not affected.

Debris Load of 3: 25-75% debris present. Counts may be underestimated.

Debris Load of 4: 76-90% debris present. Counts underestimated.

Debris Load of 5: >90% debris present. Counts could not be determined, sample overloaded.

Reviewed by: Helen H Erzie

Approved By: Now & Hales Norman Fletcher, Lab Manager

Nigrospora

Oidium/Erysiphe/Peronospora

Total fungal spores and fragments: Limit of Detection:

Yes [ ]No V. Nzo Schoo Minutes P 000 [ ] Other Samples received in good condition? Air Volume (L) [ ] Drop Off Date/Time Swab/Wipe Area Sampled By: 4 Poss Units: Drop Box Client Project: Comments: Client PO: 4°C email: Preservative [ ] US Mail Media/ Container AIR. C 6000 DHL ] [ ] Water, drinking or waste [ ] Wipe composite Fax: Samples Shipped Via: [ ] FedEx [ ] UPS Time 0 ] Wipe [ ] Other: All samples on this form should be of the SAME matrix type. Use additional forms as needed. Received By: Received By: Date Sampled 97 Attention , [ ]6-8 hours\* [ ]24 hours\* [ ]48 hours\* [ ]72 hours\* [ ] Tape Lift 4 Ph: (603) 228-3610, Fax: (603) 228-3871 www.slgl.com email: Lab@slgl.com [ ] Solid [ ] Swab Soil + 20 Chenell Drive Concord, New Hampshire 03301 Date/Time: Date/Time Analysis \*Not available for all tests. Schedule rush and weekend tests in advance. [ ] Weekend [ ] Other: N 3 Sludge ] ] Paint [ ] Oil Sample Collection and Custody Information 202 Bol [ ] 10 days 202 800 かのか ACI ると 1-3-12-11-1044 Sample Identification The Scott Lawson Group, Ltd. Environmental, Health & Safery Consultants ] Agar (biostrip) ] Agar (plate) -3 hours\* ] Aqueous [O] 5 days Sample Matrix Type (select one) Turnaround Time (select one) Relinquished By: 672 273 SLGL Lab# 5 370

A Note to Customer: by signing and relinquishing your samples to the laboratory, you agree with the terms and conditions found on the back of this Chain of Custody Form.

Page: of

Samples received in good condition? [ Tives [ ]No Minutes School 000 [ ] Other Air Volume (L) 7.1120 レト [ ] Drop Off Date/Time: Date/Time: 7 Swab/Wipe Area 2300 Sampled By: Units: [ ] US Mail [ ] Drop Box Client Project: Comments Client PO: 4°C email: Preservative Media/ Container [ ] DHL ] Water, drinking or waste AIR. ] Wipe composite Samples Shipped Via: [ ] FedEx [ ] UPS Fax: Time ] Other: All samples on this form should be of the SAME matrix type. Use additional forms as needed. Received By: Date Sampled 97 Attention: [ ]6-8 hours\* [ ]24 hours\* [ ]48 hours\* [ ]72 hours\* ] Tape Lift Ph: (603) 228-3610, Fax: (603) 228-3871 www.slgl.com email: Lab@slgl.com ] Solid ] Swab Soil + Concord, New Hampshire 03301 Date/Time Date/Time Analysis "Not available for all tests. Schedule rush and weekend tests in advance. 20 Chenell Drive [ ] 10 days [ ] Weekend [ ] Other: N 1500 [ ] Sludge [ ] Paint ] Bulk ) Oil Sample Collection and Custody Information 200 100 202 83 200 500 3-12 Sample Identification 1-3-13-11-104 The Scott Lawson Group, Ltd. Environmental, Health & Safery Consultants ] Agar (biostrip) ] Agar (plate) ] Aqueous O 5 days 3 hours\* Sample Matrix Type (select one) Turnaround Time (select one) Relinquished By: N SLGL Lab# T 

A Note to Customer: by signing and relinquishing your samples to the laboratory, you agree with the terms and conditions found on the back of this Chain of Custody Form.

Page: of